Lecture 1: Introduction Information Visualization CPSC 533C, Fall 2006

Tamara Munzner

UBC Computer Science

12 September 2006

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Course Home Page

main source

- readings, lecture slides, all information
- reload frequently, updates common!
- permanent URL
 - www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/cpsc533c-06-fall

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- shortcut
 - www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/533

Course Design

- reading-intensive course
 - reading front-loaded in first 7 weeks
- oral presentations
 - major presentation
 - project update, project final
- writing
 - questions, proposal, final report
- programming
 - project course (unless do analysis option)
 - time management critical: staged development
- no problem sets or exams :)
- schedule
 - one week during term with no classes (Oct 31, Nov 2)

Course Structure Summary

- class participation: 25%
 - questions 75%, discussion 25%
- presentation: 25%
- project: 50%

- most grading by buckets:
 - great 100%, good 89%, ok 78%, poor 67%, zero 0%

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○○

Course Structure

- lectures/readings
 - weeks 1-7
 - professor lectures
 - all do core readings
 - submit questions for each lecture (19%)
 - discussion (6%)
- presentations (25%)
 - weeks 9-12
 - student presentations
 - only presenter does topic readings
 - discussion (6%)
- project (50%)
 - weeks 6-14
 - proposal 10%, update 10%, report 20%, presentation 10%, content 50%

Required Readings

Ware

- Information Visualization: Perception for Design
- 2nd edition
- Tufte
 - Envisioning Information
- many papers
 - most are color PDF downloads from page

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

a few handed out in class as hardcopy

Participation

- 6%: discussions in class
 - both lectures and student presentations
- 19%: 5 questions on required readings
 - due at 9am Tue/Thu for day's reading
- attendance expected
 - if you can't attend: no credit if email after 9am

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○○

Questions

- questions or comments
- fine to be less formal than written report
 - (correct grammar and spelling expected nevertheless)
- should be thoughtful, show you've read and reflected
 - poor to ask something trivial to look up
 - ok to ask for clarification of genuinely confusing section
- grading into buckets:
 - great 100%, good 89%, ok 78%, poor 67%, zero 0%

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Question Examples: Poor

- Well, what exactly Pad++ is? Is it a progarmming library or a set of API or a programming language? how can we use it in our systems, for xample may be programming in TCL or OpenGL may be ?
- I learned some from this paper and got some ideas of my project.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Question Examples: OK

- This seems like something fun to play around with, are there any real implementations of this? Has a good application for this type of zooming been found? Is there still a real need for this now that scroll wheels have become prevailent and most people don't even use the scroll bar anymore?
- Playing with the applet, I find I like half of their approach. It's nice to zoom out as my scroll speed increases, but then I don't like the automatic zoom in when I stop scrolling. Searching the overview I found the location I wanted, but while I paused and looked at the overview, I fell back in to the closeup. I think they need to significantly dampen their curve.

Question Examples: Good

- It would be interesting to compare the approach in this paper to some other less-mathematically-thought-out zoom and pan solutions to see if it is really better. Sometimes "faking it" is perceived to be just as good (or better) by users.
- The space-scale diagrams provided a clear intuition of why zooming out, panning then zooming in is a superior navigation technique. However, I found the diagram too cumbersome for practical use, especially for objects with zoom-dependent representations (Figure 11).

Question Examples: Great

- I'm curious as to what would have happened if the authors had simply preselected the values of the free parameters for the participants in their user study, and then had the users compare their technique to the standard magnification tools present in a 'normal' application (much like the space-scale folks did). Could it be that the users are 'manufacturing' a large standard deviation in the free parameter specifications by settling for values that merely produce a local improvement in their ability to manipulate the interface, instead of actively searching for an optimal valuation scheme?
- In a related vein, the speed-dependent automatic zooming met with mixed success on some applications. Isn't this success related to how "compressible" some information is? i.e. because zooming must necessarily throw out some information, it isn't obvious which information to keep around to preserve the navigable structure.

Presentations

- second half of class
 - sign up by Oct 20
- material (exact numbers TBD, depending on enrollment)
 - XX papers from my suggestions
 - XX paper found on your own
- talk
 - slides required
 - not just outline!
 - critical points of papers
 - comparison and critique
- grading
 - per-paper: summary 70%, critique 30%
 - general: presentation style 50%, content preparation 50%

Projects

- choice 1: programming
 - common case
 - I will only consider supervising students who do programming projects

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

- choice 2: analysis
 - use existing tools on dataset
 - detailed domain survey
 - suitable for non-CS students
- stages
 - meetings with me Oct 17-20
 - proposal due Oct 27
 - update presentations Nov 14,16
 - final presentations Dec 14
 - final report Dec 15

Reserve Books

- Information Visualization: Perception for Design, Colin Ware (2nd ed)
- The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, Edward R. Tufte, Graphics Press 1983
- Envisioning Information, Edward R. Tufte, Graphics Press 1990
- Visual Explanations, Edward R. Tufte, Graphics Press 1997
- Readings in Information Visualization: Using Vision To Think; Card, Mackinlay, and Shneiderman, eds; Morgan Kaufmann 1999.
- The Visualization Toolkit, 2nd edition; Schroeder, Martin and Lorensen; Prentice Hall 1998

- visual representation of abstract data
 - computer-generated, can be interactive

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ●

Interactivity

static images

- 10,000 years
- art, graphic design
- moving images
 - 100 years
 - cinematography
- interactive graphics
 - 20 years
 - computer graphics, human-computer interaction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

- visual representation of abstract data
 - computer-generated, can be interactive
 - help human perform some task more effectively

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

- visual representation of abstract data
 - computer-generated, can be interactive
 - help human perform some task more effectively
- bridging many fields
 - graphics: drawing in realtime
 - cognitive psych: finding appropriate representation

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

HCI: using task to guide design and evaluation

- visual representation of abstract data
 - computer-generated, can be interactive
 - help human perform some task more effectively
- bridging many fields
 - graphics: drawing in realtime
 - cognitive psych: finding appropriate representation

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- HCI: using task to guide design and evaluation
- external representation
 - reduces load on working memory
 - offload cognition
 - familiar example: multiplication/division

mental buffer

paper	mental buffer
57 x 48 	[7*8=56]

paper	mental buffer
5 57 x 48 	[7*8=56]
6	

paper	mental buffer
5 57 x 48	
6	

paper	mental buffer
5 57 x 48 	[5*8=40 + 5 = 45]
456	

paper	mental buffer
57 x 48	
456	

paper	mental buffer
57 x 48 	[7*4=28]
456	

paper	mental buffer
2 57 x 48	[7*4=28]
456 8	

paper	mental buffer
2 57 x 48	
456 8	

paper	mental buffer
2 57 x 48 	[5*4=20+2=22]
456 228	

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
456	
228	

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
456 228	
6	

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
1	
456	
228	
36	[8 + 5 = 13]

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
1	
456	
228	
36	

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
1	
456	
228	
736	[4+2+1=7]

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
456 228	
736	

paper	mental buffer
57	
x 48	
456 228	
2736	

- visual representation of abstract data
 - computer-generated, can be interactive
 - help human perform some task more effectively
- bridging many fields
 - graphics: drawing in realtime
 - cognitive psych: finding appropriate representation

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- HCI: using task to guide design and evaluation
- external representation
 - reduces load on working memory
 - offload cognition
 - familiar example: multiplication/division
 - infovis example: topic graphs

External Representation: Topic Graphs

[Godel, Escher, Bach. Hofstadter 1979]

Turing - Halting problem Halting problem - Infinity Paradoxes - Lewis Carroll Paradoxes - Infinity Infinity - Lewis Carroll Infinity - Unpredictably long searches Infinity - Recursion Infinity - Zeno Infinity - Paradoxes Lewis Carroll - Zeno Lewis Carroll - Wordplay

Halting problem - Decision procedures BlooP and FlooP - AI Halting problem - Unpredict long searches BlooP and FlooP - Unpredic long searches BlooP and FlooP - Recursio Tarski - Truth vs. provability Tarski - Epimenides Tarski - Undecidability Paradoxes - Self-ref

External Representation: Topic Graphs

- offload cognition to visual systems
- minimal attention to read answer



External Rep: Automatic Layout



(日) (字) (日) (日) (日)

(Godel, Escher, Bach. Hofstader 79)

InfoVis vs. SciVis

- is spatialization given (scientific visualization) or chosen (information visualization)
 - my definition
- names are unfortunate historical accidents
 - not scivis iff data generated by scientists
 - infovis not unscientific
 - scivis not uninformative
 - but too late to change
- infovis: how to represent
 - choosing, doing, evaluating
 - huge space of possibilities: random walk ineffective
 - need design guidelines

Lecture Topics

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

Design Studies



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Focus+Context



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Frameworks/Models



Perception



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ ● のへで

Space/Order



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 - のへで

Depth/Occlusion



High Dimensionality



Color





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Evaluation





◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ◆目> ● ● ●

Interaction



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Navigation/Zooming



<ロ>
<日>
<日>
<日>
<10>
<10>
<10>
<10>
<10>
<10>
<10>
<10</p>
<p

Graphs/Trees



More Guest Lectures

stayed tuned, things may shuffle

Office Hours

- domains
 - bioinformatics
 - evolutionary trees
 - genomic sequences
 - protein-protein interaction
 - computer science
 - networking
 - security
 - cluster monitoring
 - environmental sustainability
- techniques/projects
 - Focus+Context
 - multidimensional scaling
 - scalable graph drawing
 - evaluation
- 1:30-2:30 Tuesdays or by appointment

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

office in X661, ICICS/CS