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## Defining visualization (vis)

Computer-based visualization systems provide visual representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectively.

## Why?...

## Why have a human in the loop?

Computer-based xisualization systems provide visual representations o datasets designed to hel people arry out tasks more effectively.

## Visualization is suitable when there is a need to augment human capabilities rather than replace people with computational decision-making methods.

- don't need vis when fully automatic solution exists and is trusted
- many analysis problems ill-specified
- don't know exactly what questions to ask in advance
- possibilities
- long-term use for end users (e.g. exploratory analysis of scientific data)
- presentation of known results
- stepping stone to better understanding of requirements before developing models
- help developers of automatic solution refine/debug, determine parameters
-help end users of automatic solutions verify, build trust


## Why use an external representation?

Computer-based visualization systems provid visual representations f datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more efrectively.

- external representation: replace cognition with perception



## Why represent all the data?

Computer-based visualization systems provide visua representations of datasets designed to help people carry out tasks more effectivery.

- summaries lose information, details matter
- confirm expected and find unexpected patterns
- assess validity of statistical model


## Anscombe's Quartet

| Identical statistics |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| x mean | 9 |
| x variance | 10 |
| y mean | 8 |
| y variance | 4 |
| x/y correlation | 1 |






## Analysis framework: Four levels, three questions

- domain situation
- abstraction
[A Nested Model of Visualization Design and Validation. Munzner. IEEETVCG I5(6):92I-928, 2009 (Proc. InfoVis 2009).]
- what is shown? data abstraction
- often don't just draw what you're given: transform to new form
- why is the user looking at it? task abstraction
- idiom

- visual encoding idiom: how to draw
- interaction idiom: how to manipulate
[A Multi-Level Typology of Abstract Visualization Tasks
- algorithm
- efficient computation


## Validation methods from different fields for each level



- mismatch: cannot show idiom good with system timings
- mismatch: cannot show abstraction good with lab study


## Why analyze?

- imposes a structure on huge design space

> - scaffold to help you think systematically about choices
> - analyzing existing as stepping stone to designing new

$\Theta$ Tree


Why?
$\Theta$ Actions
How?

## TreeJuxtaposer


[TreeJuxtaposer: Scalable Tree Comparison Using Focus +Context With Guaranteed Visibility. ACM Trans. on Graphics (Proc. SIGGRAPH) 22:453-462, 2003.]
$\rightarrow$ Present $\rightarrow$ Locate $\rightarrow$ Identify

$\Theta$ SpaceTree
$\rightarrow$ Encode $\rightarrow$ Navigate $\rightarrow$ Select $\rightarrow$ Filter $\quad \rightarrow$ Aggregate
$\Theta$ TreeJuxtaposer
$\rightarrow$ Encode $\rightarrow$ Navigate $\rightarrow$ Select $\rightarrow$ Arrange
$\Theta$ Targets
$\rightarrow$ Path between two nodes


[SpaceTree: Supporting Exploration in Large Node Link Tree, Design Evolution and Empirical Evaluation. Grosjean, Plaisant, and Bederson. Proc. InfoVis 2002, p 57-64.] rical $\square$

## What?

Why?

How?

What?


## Types: Datasets and data

## $\Theta$ Dataset Types

$\rightarrow$ Tables
$\rightarrow$ Networks

$\Theta$ Attribute Types
$\rightarrow$ Categorical

$\rightarrow$ Spatial
$\rightarrow$ Fields (Continuous) $\quad \rightarrow$ Geometry (Spatial)

$\rightarrow$ Ordered

$$
\rightarrow \text { Ordinal }
$$


$\rightarrow$ Quantitative


## Why?

## What?

## How?

- \{action, target\} pairs
- discover distribution
- compare trends
- locate outliers
- browse topology

Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume

$\rightarrow$ Produce

$\Theta$ Search

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location known | $\bullet$ - Lookup | - $\odot$ Browse |
| Location unknown | <.O.> Locate | < ${ }^{\text {O-P.> Explore }}$ |

$\rightarrow$ Query
$\rightarrow$ Identify

$\rightarrow$ Summarize

$\leftrightarrow$ All Data

$\leftrightarrow$

$\rightarrow$ Extremes illı.
$\Theta$ Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\rightarrow$ Paths
$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape

## Actions I:Analyze

- consume
-discover vs present
- classic split
- aka explore vs explain
-enjoy
- newcomer
- aka casual, social
- produce
-annotate, record
- derive
- crucial design choice
$\Theta$ Analyze
$\rightarrow$ Consume
$\rightarrow$ Discover

$\rightarrow$ Produce
$\rightarrow$ Annotate


$$
\rightarrow \text { Present } \quad \rightarrow \text { Enjoy }
$$

$$
\rightarrow \text { Record } \quad \rightarrow \text { Derive }
$$


$\rightarrow 8$

## Actions II: Search

- what does user know?
- target, location
$\Theta$ Search

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location known | - . . Lookup | $\cdots$ Browse |
| Location unknown | < ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \cdot>$ Locate | * © - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ - Explore |

## Actions III: Query

- what does user know?
- target, location
- how much of the data matters?
- one, some, all
$\Theta$ Search

|  | Target known | Target unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location known | - - Lookup | - $\bigcirc$ Browse |
| Location unknown | < ${ }^{\circ}$-> Locate | * O.> Explore |

$\Theta$ Query

$$
\rightarrow \text { Identify } \quad \rightarrow \text { Compare } \quad \rightarrow \text { Summarize }
$$

- analyze, search, query
-independent choices for each


## Targets

$\Theta$ All Data

$\Theta$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Network Data
$\rightarrow$ Topology

$\Theta$ Spatial Data
$\rightarrow$ Shape


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...

- ■ I $/$ _ () ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
$+\quad \square \Delta$
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



## Manipulate

Facet
$\Theta$ Juxtapose

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Navigate
$\because \because>$
$\Theta$ Superimpose


## Reduce

$\Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed
Enn

## How to encode: Arrange space, map channels

Encode


## Encoding visually

- analyze idiom structure



## Definitions: Marks and channels

- marks
$\Theta$ Points
$\Theta$ Lines
$\rightarrow$ Areas
- geometric primitives
- channels
- control appearance of marks
Shape
$\Theta$ Tilt
- 米
1 ■

$\Theta$ Size
$\rightarrow$ Length
- 
-     - $\qquad$
$\rightarrow$ Volume
$\square$


## Encoding visually with marks and channels

- analyze idiom structure
-as combination of marks and channels


1:
vertical position


2 :
vertical position horizontal position

$3:$
vertical position horizontal position color hue
mark: point mark: point


4: vertical position
horizontal position color hue size (area)
mark: point

## Channels



## Channels: Matching Types

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes


- expressiveness principle - match channel and data characteristics


## Channels: Rankings

$\Theta$ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

| Position on common scale | $\longmapsto-\longrightarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Position on unaligned scale | $\stackrel{-}{\longmapsto}$ |
| Length (1D size) | - - - |
| Tilt/angle | $1 / 2$ |
| Area (2D size) | - ■ |
| Depth (3D position) | $\longmapsto \bullet \longmapsto \bullet$ |
| Color luminance |  |
| Color saturation |  |
| Curvature | $1)$ ) |
| Volume (3D size) | - 1 |

$\Theta$ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes
Spatial region

Color hue

Motion

Shape


- expressiveness principle
- match channel and data characteristics
- effectiveness principle
- encode most important attributes with highest ranked channels


## How?

## Encode



## $\Theta$ Map

from categorical and ordered attributes
$\rightarrow$ Color
$\rightarrow$ Hue $\rightarrow$ Saturation $\rightarrow$ Luminance
$\rightarrow$ Size, Angle, Curvature, ...

- ■ I / _ \| ) )
$\rightarrow$ Shape
$+\quad \square \Delta$
$\rightarrow$ Motion
Direction, Rate, Frequency, ...



How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies $+I$ previous

| Manipulate | Facet | Reduce | $\rightarrow$ Derive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change <br> $\because \because \circ \odot \square!$ | $\Theta$ Juxtapose <br> ... $\bullet^{\bullet} \quad \because \cdot$. | Filter <br>  |  |
| $\Theta$ Select | Partition | $\Theta$ Aggregate $\qquad$ | - change view over time <br> - facet across multiple views |
| Navigate $<\because$ 〉 | Superimpose | Embed EMn | - reduce items/attributes within single view <br> - derive new data to show within view |



$\qquad$
$\Theta$ Filter

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\rightarrow$ Derive

$\Theta$ Select

$\Theta$ Partition

$\Theta$ Navigate

$\Theta$ Superimpose

$\Theta$ Embed


- change over time
- most obvious \& flexible of the 4 strategies


## Idiom: Animated transitions

- smooth transition from one state to another
-alternative to jump cuts
- support for item tracking when amount of change is limited
- example: multilevel matrix views
- scope of what is shown narrows down
- middle block stretches to fill space, additional structure appears within
- other blocks squish down to increasingly aggregated representations

[Using Multilevel Call Matrices in Large Software Projects. van Ham. Proc. IEEE Symp. Information Visualization (InfoVis), pp. 227-232, 2003.]

How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies

+ I previous


$\rightarrow$ Derive

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed

- facet data across multiple views


## Facet

$\Theta$ Juxtapose

$\Theta$ Partition

$\Theta$ Superimpose

$\Theta$ Coordinate Multiple Side By Side Views
$\rightarrow$ Share Encoding: Same/Different
$\rightarrow$ Linked Highlighting

$\rightarrow$ Share Data: All/Subset/None

$\rightarrow$ Share Navigation


## Idiom: Linked highlighting

- see how regions contiguous in one view are distributed within another
- powerful and pervasive interaction idiom
- encoding: different
- multiform
- data: all shared

[Visual Exploration of Large Structured Datasets.Wills. Proc. New Techniques and Trends in Statistics (NTTS), pp. 237-246. IOS Press, I995.]


## Idiom: bird's-eye maps

System: Google Maps

- encoding: same
- data: subset shared
- navigation: shared - bidirectional linking
- differences
- viewpoint
- (size)
- overview-detail

[A Review of Overview+Detail, Zooming, and Focus+Context Interfaces. Cockburn, Karlson, and Bederson. ACM Computing Surveys 4I:I (2008), I-3I.]


## Idiom: Small multiples

System: Cerebral

- encoding: same
- data: none shared
- different attributes for node colors
-(same network layout)
- navigation: shared

[Cerebral:Visualizing Multiple Experimental Conditions on a Graph with Biological Context. Barsky, Munzner, Gardy, and Kincaid. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2008) I4:6 (2008), I253-I 260.$]$


## Coordinate views: Design choice interaction

|  |  | Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All | Subset | None |
|  | Same | Redundant | Overview/ Detail | Small Multiples |
|  | Different | Multiform | Multiform, Overview/ Detail | No Linkage |

- why juxtapose views?
-benefits: eyes vs memory
- lower cognitive load to move eyes between 2 views than remembering previous state with single changing view
- costs: display area, 2 views side by side each have only half the area of one view


## Partition into views

- how to divide data between views
- encodes association between items using spatial proximity
- major implications for what patterns are visible
- split according to attributes
- design choices
-how many splits
- all the way down: one mark per region?
- stop earlier, for more complex structure within region?
- order in which attribs used to split
-how many views
$\Theta$ Partition into Side-by-Side Views



## Partitioning: List alignment

- single bar chart with grouped bars
- split by state into regions
- complex glyph within each region showing all ages
- compare: easy within state, hard across ages
- small-multiple bar charts
- split by age into regions
- one chart per region
- compare: easy within age, harder across states



## Partitioning: Recursive subdivision

- split by neighborhood
- then by type
- then time
- years as rows
-months as columns
- color by price
- neighborhood patterns
- where it's expensive
- where you pay much more for detached type

[Configuring Hierarchical Layouts to Address Research Questions. Slingsby, Dykes, and Wood. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2009) I5:6 (2009), 977-984.]


## Partitioning: Recursive subdivision

System: HIVE

- switch order of splits
-type then neighborhood
- switch color
-by price variation
- type patterns
- within specific type, which neighborhoods inconsistent



## Partitioning: Recursive subdivision

System: HIVE

- different encoding for second-level regions
- choropleth maps

[Configuring Hierarchical Layouts to Address Research Questions. Slingsby, Dykes, and Wood. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2009) I5:6 (2009), 977-984.]

How to handle complexity: 3 more strategies

+ I previous

- reduce what is shown within single view


## Reduce items and attributes

- reduce/increase: inverses
- filter
- pro: straightforward and intuitive
- to understand and compute
- con: out of sight, out of mind
- aggregation
- pro: inform about whole set
- con: difficult to avoid losing signal
- not mutually exclusive
- combine filter, aggregate
- combine reduce, facet, change, derive
$\Theta$ Filter
$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes


## 

$\Theta$ Aggregate
$\rightarrow$ Items

$\rightarrow$ Attributes

$\Theta$ Filter

## 

$\Theta$ Aggregate

$\Theta$ Embed


## Idiom: boxplot

- static item aggregation
- task: find distribution
- data: table
- derived data
-5 quant attribs
- median: central line
- lower and upper quartile: boxes
- lower upper fences: whiskers
- values beyond which items are outliers

- outliers beyond fence cutoffs explicitly shown
[40 years of boxplots.Wickham and Stryjewski. 20I 2. had.co.nz]


## Idiom: Dimensionality reduction for documents

- attribute aggregation
- derive low-dimensional target space from high-dimensional measured space




## More Information

- this talk
http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/talks.html\#vadI5uw
- book page (including tutorial lecture slides) http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook
- 20\% promo code for book+ebook combo: HVNI7
- http://www.crcpress.com/product/isbn/978I466508910
-illustrations: Eamonn Maguire
- papers, videos, software, talks, full courses http://www.cs.ubc.ca/group/infovis http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm
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