

Ch 4/5/6: Validation, Marks & Channels, Rules of Thumb Paper: Artery Vis

Tamara Munzner

Department of Computer Science
University of British Columbia

CPSC 547, Information Visualization

Week 3: 25 September 2017


<http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-17F>

News

- marks out for last week (Sep 19)
 - most got 5/5 (1 for each of 4 readings, 1 for responses)
 - a few lower who gave only one general comment rather than per-reading specific comments
- today
 - some discussion
 - exercise: Decoding
 - more discussion


Ch 4: Validation

VAD Ch 4: Analysis: Four Levels for Validation




Four levels of design and validation

- four levels of design problems
 - different threats to validity at each level


 **Domain situation**
You misunderstood their needs

 **Data/task abstraction**
You're showing them the wrong thing

 **Visual encoding/interaction idiom**
The way you show it doesn't work


 **Algorithm**
Your code is too slow

Validation by level



- mismatch: cannot show idiom good with system timings
- mismatch: cannot show abstraction good with lab study

Directionality & scope



Ch 5: Marks & Channels

Definitions: Marks and channels

- marks

 - geometric primitives

→ Points



→ Lines



→ Areas



- channels

 - control appearance of marks

→ Position


→ Horizontal



→ Vertical



→ Both



→ Color



→ Shape



→ Tilt



→ Size

→ Length



→ Area




→ Volume




Encoding visually with marks and channels

- analyze idiom structure
 - as combination of marks and channels




1:
vertical position

mark: line




2:
vertical position
horizontal position

mark: point



3:
vertical position
horizontal position
color hue

mark: point



4:
vertical position
horizontal position
color hue
size (area)

mark: point

Channels

Position on common scale




Position on unaligned scale



Length (1D size)



Tilt/angle



Area (2D size)



Depth (3D position)



Color luminance



Color saturation



Curvature



Volume (3D size)



Same

Spatial region



Color hue



Motion




Shape



Channels: Rankings

➔ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes



➔ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes




Best
Effectiveness
Least

- effectiveness principle
 - encode most important attributes with highest ranked channels
- expressiveness principle
 - match channel and data characteristics


Accuracy: Fundamental Theory

Steven's Psychophysical Power Law: $S = I^N$




Accuracy: Vis experiments

Cleveland & McGill's Results




Crowdsourced Results



[Crowdsourcing Graphical Perception: Using Mechanical Turk to Assess Visualization Design. Heer and Bostock. Proc ACM Conf. Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) 2010, p. 203–212.]

Discriminability: How many usable steps?


- must be sufficient for number of attribute levels to show
 - linewidth: few bins



[mappa.mundi.net/maps/maps_014/telegeography.html]

Separability vs. Integrality


Position
+ Hue (Color)



Fully separable

2 groups each


Size
+ Hue (Color)



Some interference

2 groups each


Width
+ Height



Some/significant
interference

3 groups total:
integral area

Red
+ Green




Major interference


4 groups total:
integral hue

Popout

- find the red dot
 - how long does it take?
- parallel processing on many individual channels
 - speed independent of distractor count
 - speed depends on channel and amount of difference from distractors
- serial search for (almost all) combinations
 - speed depends on number of distractors



Popout



- many channels: tilt, size, shape, proximity, shadow direction, ...
- but not all! parallel line pairs do not pop out from tilted pairs

Grouping

- containment
- connection


- proximity
 - same spatial region
- similarity
 - same values as other categorical channels

Marks as Links

➔ Containment



➔ Connection



➔ Identity Channels: Categorical Attributes


Spatial region



Color hue



Motion



Shape




Relative vs. absolute judgements


- perceptual system mostly operates with relative judgements, not absolute
 - that's why accuracy increases with common frame/scale and alignment
 - Weber's Law: ratio of increment to background is constant
 - filled rectangles differ in length by 1:9, difficult judgement
 - white rectangles differ in length by 1:2, easy judgement



length




position along
unaligned
common scale



position along
aligned scale

Relative luminance judgements



- perception of luminance is contextual based on contrast with surroundings



Edward H. Adelson

Relative color judgements

- color constancy across broad range of illumination conditions



Ch 6: Rules of Thumb

VAD Ch 6: Rules of Thumb

- **No unjustified 3D**
 - Power of the plane, dangers of depth
 - Occlusion hides information
 - Perspective distortion loses information
 - Tilted text isn't legible
- **No unjustified 2D**
- **Eyes beat memory**
- **Resolution over immersion**
- **Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand**
- **Function first, form next**

- **(Get it right in black and white)**

No unjustified 3D: Power of the plane

- high-ranked spatial position channels: planar spatial position – not depth!

➔ Magnitude Channels: Ordered Attributes

Position on common scale




Position on unaligned scale



Length (1D size)



Tilt/angle




Area (2D size)



Depth (3D position)




Steven's Psychophysical Power Law: $S = I^N$




No unjustified 3D: Danger of depth

- we don't really live in 3D: we **see** in 2.05D
 - acquire more info on image plane quickly from eye movements
 - acquire more info for depth slower, from head/body motion



Occlusion hides information

- occlusion
- interaction complexity



[Distortion Viewing Techniques for 3D Data. Carpendale et al. InfoVis 1996.]

Perspective distortion loses information

- perspective distortion
 - interferes with all size channel encodings
 - power of the plane is lost!



*[Visualizing the Results of Multimedia Web Search Engines.
Mukherjea, Hirata, and Hara. InfoVis 96]*


Tilted text isn't legible

- text legibility
 - far worse when tilted from image plane

- further reading

[Exploring and Reducing the Effects of Orientation on Text Readability in Volumetric Displays.


Grossman et al. CHI 2007]



[Visualizing the World-Wide Web with the Navigational View Builder. Mukherjea and Foley. Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, 1995.]

No unjustified 3D example: Time-series data


- extruded curves: detailed comparisons impossible



[Cluster and Calendar based Visualization of Time Series Data. van Wijk and van Selow, Proc. InfoVis 99.]

No unjustified 3D example: Transform for new data abstraction

- derived data: cluster hierarchy
- juxtapose multiple views: calendar, superimposed 2D curves



[Cluster and Calendar based Visualization of Time Series Data. van Wijk and van Selow, Proc. InfoVis 99.]



Justified 3D: shape perception

- benefits outweigh costs when task is shape perception for 3D spatial data
 - interactive navigation supports synthesis across many viewpoints

 Targets


⊙ Spatial Data

→ Shape



No unjustified 3D

- 3D legitimate for true 3D spatial data
- 3D needs very careful justification **for abstract data**
 - enthusiasm in 1990s, but now skepticism
 - be especially careful with 3D for point clouds or networks



[WEBPATH-a three dimensional Web history. Frecon and Smith. Proc. InfoVis 1999]


No unjustified 2D

- consider whether network data requires 2D spatial layout
 - especially if reading text is central to task!
 - arranging as network means lower information density and harder label lookup compared to text lists
- benefits outweigh costs when topological structure/context important for task
 - be especially careful for search results, document collections, ontologies

Targets

➔ Network Data

➔ Topology



➔ Paths




Eyes beat memory

- principle: external cognition vs. internal memory
 - easy to compare by moving eyes between side-by-side views
 - harder to compare visible item to memory of what you saw
- implications for animation
 - great for choreographed storytelling
 - great for transitions between two states
 - poor for many states with changes everywhere
 - consider small multiples instead




Eyes beat memory example: Cerebral

- small multiples: one graph instance per experimental condition
 - same spatial layout
 - color differently, by condition





Why not animation?

- disparate frames and regions: comparison difficult
 - vs contiguous frames
 - vs small region
 - vs coherent motion of group
- change blindness
 - even major changes difficult to notice if mental buffer wiped
- safe special case
 - animated transitions



Resolution beats immersion

- immersion typically not helpful **for abstract data**
 - do not need sense of presence or stereoscopic 3D
- resolution much more important
 - pixels are the scarcest resource
 - desktop also better for workflow integration
- virtual reality for abstract data very difficult to justify



[Development of an information visualization tool using virtual reality. Kirner and Martins. Proc. Symp. Applied Computing 2000]

Overview first, zoom and filter, details on demand

- influential mantra from Shneiderman

[The Eyes Have It: A Task by Data Type Taxonomy for Information Visualizations. Shneiderman. Proc. IEEE Visual Languages, pp. 336–343, 1996.]

- **overview = summary**

–microcosm of full vis design problem


- **nuances**

–beyond just two levels: multi-scale structure

–difficult when scale huge: give up on overview and browse local neighborhoods?

→ Query

→ Identify



→ Compare



→ Summarise



[Search, Show Context, Expand on Demand: Supporting Large Graph Exploration with Degree-of-Interest. van Ham and Perer. IEEE Trans. Visualization and Computer Graphics (Proc. InfoVis 2009) 15:6 (2009), 953–960.]




Function first, form next

- start with focus on functionality
 - straightforward to improve aesthetics later on, as refinement
 - if no expertise in-house, find good graphic designer to work with
- dangerous to start with aesthetics
 - usually impossible to add function retroactively



Artery Visualizations for Heart Disease Diagnosis

HemoViz: Design study + evaluation



- formative study with experts
 - task taxonomy
- HemoViz design
- deploy attempt fails
 - experts balk: demand 3D and rainbows
- quantitative user study
 - med students, real data
 - 91% with 2D/diverging vs 39% with 3D/rainbows
 - experts willing to use



Study results: Error



Study results: Time



Critique

- many strengths

- careful and well justified design, convincing human-subjects experiment
 - bringing visualization best practices to medical domain

- limitation

- paper does not clearly communicate why colormap is diverging not sequential

- answer by email

- doctors care about extremely high and extremely low ESS (scalar) values

- high values (top of scale, dark grey): extreme blood flow patterns may relate to heart malfunctions - but not imminently life threatening and don't indicate plaque locations
- low values (bottom of scale, dark red): very diseased regions with lots of plaque, docs care a lot!
- much debate from doctors on where is boundary between “normal” and “low” ESS values
 - » most think below 3 Pa are indicative of disease but many argue other values in the 2-4 range.
 - » all docs agree that values below 2 Pa are increasingly dangerous disease levels.
 - » thus map has transition at 3 Pa for the diverging point and truly red below 2 Pa

- why continuous not segmented?

- doctors gain tremendous insight by seeing the subtle patterning of the ESS values
- particularly varying values in red region - patterns help them understand disease progression and severity
 - » especially useful for deciding what types of interventions to prescribe for the patient