

Spatial visualization Spatial visualization Spatial visualization: user testing Critique • Hub identification, flow characterization Spatial abstraction Geographic flow data Cardinal angles of travel, distance Idiom What: Data Strength No consensus on preferred diagram What: Derived - Big reductions in data complexity, increases classes, frequency of trips • Some mutually exclusive criteria (too legibility of large datasets How: Encode Flow glyphs (overlaid on maps) Data-based distance intervals, cluttered, not enough detail visible) How: Reduce - Multiple solutions- choose based on needs cardinal directions • Best option depends on task/data at hand How: Manipulate Choose # clusters, filter - Software independent: These design Why: Tasks Find hubs of activity, show trends recommendations are not dependent on a • Spatial aggregation requested and changes over time particular suite of software, can be implemented Scale 360 degrees of travel, infinite distances. Reductions up to 99% in different ways Critique Critique Critique • Useful framework for simplifying complex Weaknesses Weaknesses spatial/temporal datasets - Software independent: don't provide a library for - A lot of visual complexity in some of the graphs implementation, users presumably have to figure (such as difference diagrams) it out on their own · Will the user be able to make meaningful interpretations? - Color mapping doesn't appear to be linear - No consensus in user testing—all designs they • Even when a linear display is used, the 2-d color space isn't intuitive to me (how are these colors related or proposed had someone who ranked it as favorite, different?) and someone as least favorite (suggests there's not a right answer) Fig. 1. The proposed analytical workflow