CS340 Machine learning Information theory

1

Announcements

- If you did not get email, contact <u>hoytak@cs.ubc.ca</u>
- Newsgroup ubc.courses.cpsc.340
- Hw1 due wed bring hardcopy to start of class
- Added knnClassify.m, normalize.m
- Add/drop deadline tomorrow

Information theory

- Data compression (source coding)
 - More frequent events should have shorter encodings
- Error correction (channel coding)
 - Should be able to infer encoded event even is message is corrupted by noise
- Both tasks require building probabilistic models of data sources, p(x), and hence are related to machine learning
- Lower bounds on coding length and channel capacity depend on our uncertainty about p(x), defined in terms of *entropy*

Info theory & ML



CUP, 2003, freely available online on David Mackay's website

Entropy

- Consider a discrete random variable $X \in \{1, \dots, K\}$
- Suppose we observe event X=k. The info content of this event is related to its surprise factor

$$h(k) = \log_2 1/p(X = k) = -\log_2 p(X = k)$$

- The entropy of distrib p is the average info content $H(X) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} p(X = k) \log_2 p(X = k)$
- Max entropy = uniform, min entropy = delta fn $0 \le H(X) \le \log_2 K$ $1 \ge 2$ 5

Binary entropy function

- Suppose $X \in \{0,1\}$, $p(X=1)=\theta$, $p(X=0)=1-\theta$
- We say X ~ Bernoulli(θ)

$$H(X) = H(\theta) = -[p(X = 1) \log_2 p(X = 1) + p(X = 0) \log_2 p(X = 0)]$$

= $-[\theta \log_2 \theta + (1 - \theta) \log_2 (1 - \theta)]$



Entropy of p(y|x,D) for kNN



Entropy





_{P(y=} P(y=2|x,D)



7

Active learning

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2



• Suppose we can request the label y for any location (feature vector) x.

• A natural (myopic) criterion is to pick the one that minimizes our predictive uncertainty

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} H(p(y|x, D))$$



•Implementing this in practice may be quite difficult, depending on the size of the X space, and the form of the probabilistic model p(y|x)



Active learning with Gaussian Processes

If we assume the yi labels are correlated with their nearest neighbors, we can propagate information and rapidly classify all the points



Nando de Freitas

9 Will cover later

Entropy & source coding theorem

- Shannon proved that the minimum number of bits needed to encode an RV with distribution p is H(p)
- Example: X in {a,b,c,d,e} with distribution p(a) = 0.25, p(b) = 0.25, p(c) = 0.2, p(d) = 0.15, p(e) = 0.15
- Assign short codewords (00,10,11) to common events (a,b,c) and long codewords (010,011) to rare events in a prefix-free way

$$a \rightarrow 00, b \rightarrow 10, c \rightarrow 11, d \rightarrow 010, e \rightarrow 011$$

 $001011010 \rightarrow 00, 10, 11, 010 \rightarrow abcd$
Build tree bottom up – Huffman code

Example cont'd

• Example: X in {a,b,c,d,e} with distribution p(a) = 0.25, p(b) = 0.25, p(c) = 0.2, p(d) = 0.15, p(e) = 0.15

 $a \rightarrow 00, b \rightarrow 10, c \rightarrow 11, d \rightarrow 010, e \rightarrow 011$

• Average number of bits needed by this code

0.25 * 2 + 0.25 * 2 + 0.2 * 2 + 0.15 * 3 + 0.15 * 3 = 2.30

- Entropy of distribution: H = 2.2855
- To get closer to lower bound, encode blocks of symbols at once (arithmetic coding)

Joint entropy

• The joint entropy of 2 RV's is defined as

 $H(X,Y) = -\sum p(x,y) \log_2 p(x,y)$

If X and Y are independent

 $X \perp Y \iff p(X,Y) = p(X)p(Y)$

then our uncertainty is maximal (since X does not inform us about Y or vice versa)

 $X \perp Y \iff H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y)$

- In general, considering events jointly reduces our uncertainty $H(X,Y) \le H(X) + H(Y)$ (non trivial proof – see later)
- and our joint uncertainty is >= marginal uncertainty $H(X,Y) \ge H(X) \ge H(Y) \ge 0$

When is H(X,Y) = H(X)?

Example

- Let X(n) be the event that n is even, and Y(n) be the event that n is prime, for $n \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$

• The joint distribution = normalized counts

$$X = \frac{1}{1/8} \frac{1}{1/8}$$

What is H(X) + H(Y)?

Example cont'd

• The joint and marginal distributions are



• Hence H(X)=H(Y)=1, so

H(X,Y) = 1.8113 < H(X) + H(Y) = 2

Conditional entropy

• H(Y|X) is expected uncertainty in Y after seeing X $H(Y|X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum p(x)H(Y|X=x)$ $= -\sum_{x} p(x) \sum_{y} p(y|x) \log p(y|x)$ $= -\sum p(x,y) \log p(y|x)$ x, y $= -\sum p(x,y)\log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)}$ $= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x, y) \log p(x, y) - \sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)}$ = H(X,Y) - H(X)

When is H(Y|X)=0? When is H(Y|X) = H(Y)?

Information never hurts

 Conditioning on data always decreases (or at least, never increases) our uncertainty, on average

$$H(X,Y) \leq H(Y) + H(X) \text{ from before}$$

$$H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X) \text{ from above}$$

$$\leq H(Y) + H(X) - H(X)$$

$$\leq H(Y)$$

Mutual information

I(X,Y) is how much our uncertainty about Y decreases when we observe X

$$I(X,Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y} \sum_{x} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$
$$= -H(X,Y) + H(X) + H(Y)$$
$$= H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

• Hence

$$H(X,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y|X) + I(X,Y)$$



Mackay 9.1¹⁷

Mutual information

• MI captures dependence between RVs in the following sense:

 $I(X,Y) \ge 0$ and $I(X,Y) = 0 \iff X \perp Y$ • If $X \perp Y \Rightarrow I(X,Y)=0$ is easy to show;

- $I(X,Y)=0 \Rightarrow X \perp Y$ is harder.
- This is more general than a correlation coefficient, $\rho \in [\text{-1,1}]$ which is only captures linear dependence
- For MI, we have

 $0 \le I(X,Y) \le H(X) \le \log_2 K$

When is I(X,Y) = H(X)?

Example

 Recall the even/ prime example with joint, marginal and conditional distributions

• Hence

$$H(Y|X) = -\left[\frac{1}{8}\log_2\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{8}\log_2\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{8}\log_2\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{8}\log_2\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\log_2\frac{1}{4}\right] = 0.8113$$

I(X, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X) = 1 - 0.8113 = 0.1887

cond = normalize(joint) = joint ./ repmat(sum(joint,2), 1, Y)

Relative entropy (KL divergence)

• The Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence is defined as

$$D(p||q) = \sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = -H(p) - \sum_{x} p(x) \log q(x)$$

- where $\sum_{x} p(x) \log q(x)$ is the cross entropy
- KL is the average number of *extra* bits needed to encode the data if we think the distribution is q, but it is actually p.
- KL is not symmetric and hence not a distance.
- However, $KL(p,q) \ge 0$ with equality iff p=q.

Jensen's inequality

 A concave function is one which lies above any chord

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \ge \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

- Jensen: for any concave f, $E[f(X)] \le f(E[X]) \quad \sum p(x)f(x) \le f(\sum p(x))$
- Proof by induction: set^x

$$\lambda = p(x = 1), \ 1 - \lambda = \sum_{x=2}^{K} p(x)$$



Proof that KL >= 0

• Let $f(u) = \log 1/u$ be a concave fn, and u(x) = p(x)/q(x)

$$D(p||q) = E[f(q(x)/p(x))]$$

$$\geq f\left(\sum_{x} p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)$$

$$= \log(\frac{1}{\sum_{x} q(x)}) = 0$$

• Hence

$$I(X,Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)} = D(p(x,y)||p(x)p(y)) \ge 0$$

and

$$H(X) + H(Y) = I(X, Y) + H(X, Y) \ge H(X, Y)$$

