Your name: _____

Author's name: _____

1. The paper **has a clear thesis** and motivates the problem being addressed.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

2. The paper shows an understanding of **existing work in the literature** and presents this work in the context of the author's thesis.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

3. The paper **represents a contribution** beyond the existing work described. Some examples of such contributions: new theoretical results; experimental evaluation of theoretical claims; new arguments for or against claims made in the literature; attempts to either reconcile or contrast approaches taken in different papers, etc.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

4. The author's contribution was **significant and interesting**.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

5. The paper was **easy to read**; technical ideas were explained clearly.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

6. The paper was **well-organized**. An appropriate balance was struck between surveying existing work and presenting new ideas. General claims were made before specific details were given. A reader who quickly skimmed the paper would understand the general ideas.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

7. The **quality of the writing** (e.g., use of language, grammar, etc.) was high.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly disagree				neutral					strongly agree

8. My **overall impression** was…

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
strongly negative				neutral					strongly positive

Please write comments on the back of this sheet, below the section containing your name.